

| # | RFP SECTION                        | QUESTION  | RESPONSE   |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | GENERAL – Proposal Due Date        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Proposal Due Date – <b>Confirm if May 1 or May 5</b></li> <li>• MUW RFP Page 1 (cover): May 5, 2025</li> <li>• MUW RFP #6, Submission Instructions: May 1, 2025</li> <li>• MUW RFP #11, Time Schedule: May 5, 2025</li> </ul>  | Confirmed it is May 5, 2025. Apologies about that.                   |
| 2 | GENERAL Project Schedule/ Duration | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Project Duration. Is it 18 months (11/30/26) or 41 months (10/31/28)?</li> <li>• (a.) MUW RFP, #8 Contract Term: completion date = 11/30/26 (18 months)</li> <li>• (b.) EPA WP #E: completion date = 10/31/28 (41 months) (“Begin Preparation of Final Technical Report”)</li> </ul>   | The contract term is 18 months with extensions as needed after that. |
| 3 | GENERAL – Budget                   | <p><b>Please confirm the budget for Professional Services within the Scope of the RFP for consultants is \$427K, and not the total grant value of \$500K</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MUW RFP #2, Project Background = \$500K</li> <li>• MUW RFP #10, Project Budget = \$427K</li> <li>• EPA Work Plan #F, Budget Summary= \$500K</li> </ul>  | Confirmed. \$427K is the budget for you.                             |
| 4 | GENERAL Budget (continued)         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the budgets presented the comprehensive budget, including Maui United Way (MUW) efforts?</li> </ul>  | Yes.   |
| 5 | GENERAL Budget (continued)         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EPA WP #F. Budget Summary – 5% administrative costs - Is 5% solely MUW, or does it also include the contractor?</li> </ul>   | Does not include the contractor.                                     |
| 6 | GENERAL Budget (continued)         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• i. Pending the details provided in following questions, and project assumptions, the total budget to do all work within the RFP/Work Plan will likely exceed the consultant reimbursement value. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ (a) Is the Project Budget for consultants (assuming \$427K), the maximum value for the proposal / award?</li> <li>○ (b) OR, is that the maximum that the grant will reimburse, and the actual work can exceed the grant</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | a. It is the maximum value for the proposal.                         |

| # | RFP SECTION                              | QUESTION  | RESPONSE   |
|---|--|---|--|
|   |  | <p>reimbursement value?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ii. If the proposed value exceeds the consultant services total, will that proposal be rejected? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) OR, will the scope be renegotiated/adjusted for the costs to be within the consultant reimbursement value?</li> <li>(b) Or should the consultant make the necessary assumptions to reduce the budget?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   | <p><b>b. Consultant should make the necessary assumptions to reduce the budget.</b></p>  |
| 7 | GENERAL – Type of Award                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Will the award be on a Time-and-Materials (T&amp;M) basis or Firm Fixed Price (FFP) basis?</li> <li>(b) If FFP, is it per task?</li> </ul>   | <p><b>a. Firm Fixed Price basis.</b></p>   |
| 8 | Task 1 – Comparative Agreement Oversight | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>RFP/RFQ or other contractor selection documents</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) <i>Is this solely related to any subcontracting by selected Prime Contractor?</i></li> <li>(b) <i>What other RFPs or RFQs would consultant be required to prepare?</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>ii. <b>16 Quarterly Progress Reports (QPRs)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CONFIRM # - assumes 4 years duration ?</li> </ul> </li> <li>iii. <b>4 Federal Financial Reports (FFRs)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CONFIRM # - assumes 4 years duration?</li> </ul> </li> <li>iv. <b>4 Disadvantage Business Enterprise (DBE) Reports</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CONFIRM # - assumes 4 years duration ?</li> </ul> </li> <li>v. <b>1 Final Technical Report</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CONFIRM content. <i>Is this a summary of all work performed in all 4 tasks?</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> | <p><b>This is for the selected prime contractor subcontracts.</b></p> <p><b>None.</b></p> <p><b>Confirmed and correct, unless completed earlier.</b></p> <p><b>Confirmed and correct, unless completed earlier.</b></p> <p><b>This is actually since DOGE no longer required, but if it is reinstated, confirmed and correct, unless completed earlier.</b></p> <p><b>Confirmed.</b></p> |

| # | RFP SECTION                         | QUESTION  | RESPONSE  |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| 9 | Task 2-<br>Community<br>Involvement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Is Task 2 a mix of MUW and contractor scope? Please specify which of the Task 2 bullets are the responsibility of the contractor.</li> </ul> <p><b>TASK 2 – Community Involvement (per MUW RFP)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>i. Preparation of Fact sheets and Press Releases.</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ (a) <i>How many Fact Sheets?</i></li> <li>○ (b) <i>Fact Sheets for each individual TA, or one fact sheet for the grant?</i></li> <li>○ (c) <i>Frequency and number of Press Releases?</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>ii. Development of Webpage/social media content.</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Is the scope ONLY CONTENT development? (i.e. confirm NOT developing/creating/ maintaining the website)</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>iii. Organization of BAC meetings.</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ (a) <i>How Many BAC meetings?</i></li> <li>○ (b) <i>What is the scope of “organization”?</i></li> <li>○ (c) <i>Does this include attending all meetings?</i></li> <li>○ (d.) <i>Does this include coordinating/ procuring venue?</i></li> <li>○ (e.) <i>Is consultant creating the BAC meeting presentations</i></li> <li>○ (f) <i>Is consultant leading each BAC meeting?</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ NOTE: EPA WP indicates 10 BAC meetings (“including presentations and meeting minutes”) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Please confirm scope is only “organization”, per MUW RFP?</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>iv. Public Outreach and stakeholder engagement.</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ (a) <i>What is the scope/expectation for outreach and engagement?</i></li> <li>○ (b) <i>What is the duration of outreach?</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>v. Output/outcome tracking spreadsheets</b><br/>(From EPA WB Task 2) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Is this MUW responsibility? Not included in MUW RFP.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>vi. Site eligibility determination forms</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Is this MUW responsibility? Not included in MUW RFP</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> | <p><b>All of these are the responsibility of the primary contractor, not MUW.</b></p> |

| #  | RFP SECTION                                     | QUESTION  | RESPONSE   |
|----|---|---|--|
| 10 | Task 2- Community Involvement (continued)       | <p><b>For the bullets in Task 2, presented in Question 5, above:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the bullets to be the same set for each of the (3) TAs? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ (a ). Is it a single outreach program for all 3 TAs?</li> <li>○ (b) or is it 3 outreach programs, one per TA?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   | So sorry, this question is confusing to me. Can you please help clarify? Thank you!  |
| 11 | Task 3 – Site Assessment Activities             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>10 Phase I ESAs</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ What are the assumptions regarding the type, size, and complexity of the subject property for each ESA?</li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ There can be a large degree of variability (an order of magnitude) when it comes to Phase I ESAs. This information is necessary to develop a valid and comparable cost estimate for each ESA.</li> </ul>  | There are yet to formulate clear assumptions at this point in time. Community engagement and clearer site identification needs to be done to assess this. The County is looking to get more funds to continue this work in years to come though so we could work with our EPA contact to further discuss any challenges that arise in this area. |
| 12 | Task 3 – Site Assessment Activities (continued) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>5 Phase II ESAs</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ What environmental media should be priced for the purpose of this response?</li> <li>○ Should the contractor assume there will be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ (a) soil samples – what depth (i.e. surface, vs. below grade requiring drilling rig),</li> <li>▪ (b) aqueous samples (i.e. groundwater or surface water) – if groundwater, what well depth and construction (temporary wells/permanent wells (diameter), or existing wells.</li> <li>▪ (c) waste samples (i.e. stockpiles for disposal)?</li> <li>▪ (d) sediment samples (i.e. stream/wetland/drainage area)</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ There can be a large degree of variability (<b>orders of magnitude</b>) when it comes to Phase II ESAs. This is information necessary to develop a valid and comparable cost estimate for sampling/analytical services.</li> </ul> | See above response.  |

| #  | RFP SECTION                                     | QUESTION  | RESPONSE  |
|----|---|---|---|
| 13 | Task 3 – Site Assessment Activities (continued) | <p>▪ <b>5 Phase II ESAs (continued)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ (a) number of samples for each environmental media <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> <li>• Waste</li> <li>• sediment</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ (b) What analytical method/suite should the contractor assume for the purpose of this cost estimate? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> <li>• Waste</li> <li>• Sediment</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ (c) Does EPA request data validation services for analytical results?</li> <li>○ (d) What Level of Data Package does EPA want for the Laboratory Samples? (if there is going to be data validation, it would be assumed that EPA wants Level IV).</li> </ul> <p>➤ This is necessary to develop a valid and comparable cost estimate for analytical services.</p> | <p><b>This is difficult to answer at this point in time. See response above.</b></p> <p><b>Validation only as deemed necessary after the area is identified.</b></p> <p><b>Level of data requested will be the phase II report.</b></p> |
| 14 | Task 3 – Site Assessment Activities (continued) | <p>▪ <b>5 RBM Surveys</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ (a) Is it assumed only Lead and Arsenic are the RBM of concern?</li> <li>○ (b) What media is assumed to require sampling? (i.e. tile/mastic, drywall, paint, etc.)</li> <li>○ (c) How many samples of each RBM and media?</li> </ul> <p>➤ This is necessary to develop a valid and comparable cost estimate for analytical services.</p>   | <p><b>Dependent on the site and site history.</b></p> <p><b>Unsure at this time.</b></p> <p><b>Unsure at this time due to site not being identified yet.</b></p>  |
| 15 | Task 3 – Site Assessment Activities (continued) | <p>▪ Is consultant responsible for preparing/obtaining site access agreements?</p> <p>▪ NOTE: this can cause significant delays if access is not pre-arranged</p>   | <b>No.</b>  |

| #  | RFP SECTION                                     | QUESTION  | RESPONSE   |
|----|---|---|--|
| 16 | Task 3 – Site Assessment Activities (continued) | <p>▪ <b>NHPA Section 6 Consultation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Is Section 6 Consultation required in the RFP scope of work?</i></li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NOTE: Section 6 consultation is referenced in EPA WP, Task 3, but not included as a deliverable.</li> <li>• Section 6 consultation is not referenced in the MUW RFP.</li> </ul> <p><b>If included in the RFP scope of services:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ What are the assumptions?</li> <li>○ How many parcels ?</li> <li>○ What are the sizes of the parcels?</li> <li>○ What are the limits of disturbance (project location) and the work to be completed within those limits (project activities).</li> </ul> <p>➤ This information is necessary to develop a valid and comparable cost estimate for Section 6 consultation.</p>         | <p><b>Generally speaking, yes for section 106, not section 6 for this question group. But, this will depend on the scope and type of sampling work needed on the site to be identified.</b></p> <p><b>Maui United way will be required for consultation as needed and requested by EPA and through this process.</b></p> |
| 17 | Task 3 – Site Assessment Activities (continued) | <p>▪ <b>Endangered Species Act (ESA; Section 7) Consultations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Is Section 7 Consultation required in the RFP scope of work?</i></li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NOTE: Section 7 consultation is referenced in EPA WP, Task 3, but not included as a deliverable.</li> <li>• Section 7 consultation is not referenced in the MUW RFP.</li> </ul> <p><b>If included in the RFP,</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ What are the assumptions?</li> <li>○ How many parcels?</li> <li>○ What are the sizes of the parcels?</li> <li>○ What are the limits of disturbance (project location) and the work to be completed within those limits (project activities).</li> </ul> <p>➤ This information is necessary to develop a valid and comparable cost estimate for Section 7 consultation.</p> | <p><b>Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific.</b></p>  |

| #  | RFP SECTION                                     | QUESTION  | RESPONSE  |
|----|---|---|---|
| 18 | Task 4 – Cleanup and Reuse Planning             | <p>▪ <b>Area-Wide Plan for Lahaina TA</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ This TA is massive and the Task 4 budget is very limited.</li> <li>○ i. What are the parameters/assumptions/ limitations/ expectations for the following deliverables? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ (a) Feasibility study?</li> <li>▪ (b) Charettes?</li> <li>▪ (c) Renderings?</li> <li>▪ (d) Implementation Strategy?</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ ii. Is this task limited to a series of blocks, or specific number of parcels</li> <li>○ iii. What is the complete areal extent?</li> <li>○ iv. What are the detail requirements/ expectations?</li> <li>○ v. Is this a general high level conceptual design?</li> </ul> <p>▪ NOTE: The Task 4 budget is very limited for both an AWP and 3 CAPs</p> <p>➤ This information is necessary to determine the LOE for a limited budget</p> | <p><b>Community will help identify a site that is of public benefit for this work to be done on.</b></p> <p><b>The area-wide plan can mean a lot of things, but the idea is to talk to the consultant to make sense of the use planning, etc.</b></p> <p><b>Parameters for the deliverables will depend on future conversations between selected contractor with MUW staff, EPA and other key stakeholders.</b></p> <p><b>Not clear at this time to be discussed later.</b></p> |
| 19 | Task 4 – Cleanup and Reuse Planning (continued) | <p>▪ <b>3 CAPs and Reuse Plans</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>What are the assumptions?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ (a) Are these 3 specific parcels in the Lahaina TA? If so, what size/details/etc.</li> <li>▪ (b) Or, is this an individual CAP/Reuse Plan per each of the 3 defined TAs?</li> <li>▪ (c) What are the parameters/ constraints/ details/ limitations?</li> <li>▪ NOTE: The Task 4 budget is very limited for both an AWP and 3 CAPs</li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ This information is necessary to determine the LOE for a limited budget</li> </ul>   | <p><b>The community will work to identify the sites. The specific parcels have not been selected at this time. Clean up/ reuse planning may not even be needed.</b></p>   |
| 20 | General   | <p>▪ <b>Can the primary contractor subcontract work as deemed necessary? Do those contracts need to be secured at this point in time?</b></p>   | <p><b>Yes, the primary contracted entity may have subcontractors identified, but they do not need to have the contracts secured at the submission of this RFP response. The primary contractor</b></p>  |

| #  | RFP SECTION                  | QUESTION   | RESPONSE  |
|----|------------------------------|--|---|
|    |                              |  | <b>will be responsible for all work of their selected subcontractors though.</b>  |
| 21 | p.3 of RFP                   | The Scope of Services states “ <i>selected consultants will provide technical assistance in the following areas.</i> ” Could MUW please clarify whether the scope of work is envisioned as advisory technical assistance to support the MUW in carrying out the Work Plan, or if the contractor selected by the RFP is responsible for implementation of the tasks in the Work Plan? If some tasks are contemplated as advisory and some are expected to be implementation could MUW clarify the role of the selected contractor for each task in the Work Plan scope?   | <b>The contractor selected is responsible for implementation of the tasks in the Work Plan.</b>   |
| 22 | p.4 of RFP                   | <p><i>“The anticipated contract term will be from June 1, 2025, to November 30, 2026, aligned with the performance period of the EPA Brownfields Community-Wide Assessment Grant. The selected firm will be expected to begin work promptly upon contract execution and complete all deliverables no later than 11.30.2026 with the possibility of an extension depending on the progress of the work.”</i></p> <p>Is the possible extension mentioned here an extension of the consulting contract and an additional scope of work? Or is this a possible extension granted by EPA on the period of performance, dependent on progress made before November 30, 2026?</p> | <b>Both are possible.</b>   |
| 23 | p.4 of RFP; 9 of Workplan    | Can MUW provide an estimate of hours and/or sites included for each task?  | <b>Not at this time. The site identification with community work needs to be done by the selected contractor first to estimate this.</b>  |
| 24 | p.4-5 of RFP                 | Please confirm that the project team includes a prime contractor and any subcontractors/teaming partners.  | <b>See response to question 20 above.</b>   |
| 25 | p.5 of RFPP; 7-9 of Workplan | <p><i>“MUW has received a total of \$427,000 in EPA Brownfields Assessment Grant funding to hire consultant services. Consultant services must be scoped and priced to fit within this budget, accounting for the range of required tasks including community outreach, site assessments, and planning.”</i></p> <p>The work completion date for the RFP is 11.30.2026 and the completion date in the Schedule of Milestones and Deliverables is</p>   | <b>The potential contract extension is if the work for the entire project takes longer, which is highly likely. The budget is all inclusive of the work outlined in the workplan.</b> |



| #  | RFP SECTION       | QUESTION  | RESPONSE  |
|----|-------------------|---|---|
|    |                   | 10.31.2028. Is this budget for just the period specified by the RFP or the entire project period – including the potential contract extension? If it is the entire project period, what is the budget for the scope of work covered by the initial contract?  |   |
| 26 | p.7-8 of Workplan | <p>The schedule provided includes milestones and deliverables to be completed prior to the start of the contract covered by this RFP. Could MUW please clarify what work, if any, has been completed to date, and what is expected to be completed prior to this contract's execution?</p> <p>More specifically, could MUW please clarify the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The schedule identifies selecting a contractor by October 1, 2024. Is there a contractor working on this grant currently or is this the contractor that will be selected by this proposal?</li> <li>• Have the initial sites for assessment been confirmed and identified?</li> <li>• Have the BAC kick-off meeting or any initial outreach occurred?</li> </ul> <p>Has any of the work contemplated in the third row of the schedule begun? If so, what is the current status? If not, will the expected timelines shift given the timing of contractor selection?</p> | <p><b>The contractor has not been selected at this time. This proposal is that selection. We are just behind on the selection process. Initial sites have not been identified and confirmed. This will fall on the contractor to help identify and confirm. The BAC kick-off meeting and initial outreach has not yet occurred. Expected timelines will shift based on discussions with the contractor and EPA after selection.</b></p> |
| 27 | p.2-3 of RFP      | <p>Phase 1 – Environmental Site Assessments &amp; Regulated Building Materials</p> <p><b>QAPP Guidance</b></p> <p>Can you confirm whether the Master Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) should follow the EPA guidance documents from December 2002, or is there a more recent or alternative guidance document you require?</p>   | <p><b>The most recent guidance will be provided by EPA upon selection of the contractor.</b></p>  |
| 28 | p.2-3 of RFP      | <p>Phase 1 – Environmental Site Assessments &amp; Regulated Building Materials</p> <p><b>ASTM Interview Requirement</b></p> <p>For the Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs), what will be the mechanism for contacting former/historic property owners, as required under ASTM E1527-21, to obtain environmental site history?</p>   | <p><b>MUW can support discussions on this with the County of Maui and other key stakeholders to obtain environmental site history.</b></p>  |
| 29 | p.2-3 of RFP      | Phase 1 – Environmental Site Assessments &  | <p><b>Unsure at this time. We will need to consult the</b></p>  |

| #  | RFP SECTION  | QUESTION  | RESPONSE  |
|----|--------------|---|---|
|    |              | <p>Regulated Building Materials</p> <p><b>Record Search Tools</b></p> <p>For record searches and associated risk assessments, is the use of Environmental Data Resources (EDR) required or preferred?</p>   | EPA for an answer to this.                                    |
| 30 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 1 – Environmental Site Assessments &amp; Regulated Building Materials</p> <p><b>Transaction Screening</b></p> <p>Will a Transaction Screening Assessment (ASTM E1528) be required as part of the Phase I ESA process?</p>  | Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific. |
| 31 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 1 – Environmental Site Assessments &amp; Regulated Building Materials</p> <p><b>Asbestos/Lead Sampling Without Structures</b></p> <p>For regulated building material (RBM) surveys, what are the expectations if no building structures remain on the property? Will asbestos and lead sampling still be required?</p>   | Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific. |
| 32 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 1 – Environmental Site Assessments &amp; Regulated Building Materials</p> <p><b>Offsite Screening Radius</b></p> <p>For environmental screening reports, what is the required search radius for offsite properties to streamline the due diligence process?</p>  | Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific. |
| 33 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>Subsurface Sample Assumptions</b></p> <p>Should the number of subsurface samples for Phase II ESAs be proposed as a standard estimate per site (e.g., three samples), or will this be determined after Phase I assessments and coordinated per site?</p> | Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific. |
| 34 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>Groundwater Sampling Scope</b></p> <p>Is groundwater sampling anticipated during the Phase I ESA process, or will it only be initiated if Phase I findings suggest potential groundwater impacts?</p>  | Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific. |
| 35 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>Combined Reporting</b></p> <p>Can Phase I and Phase II ESA findings be combined into a single Phase II report for each property, or must they be submitted as standalone documents even if both are conducted for the same site?</p>                     | Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific. |

| #  | RFP SECTION  | QUESTION   | RESPONSE  |
|----|--------------|--|---|
| 36 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>RBM Requirements Without Standing Walls</b><br/>In cases where no standing structures or walls exist, will regulated building materials surveys (typically for asbestos and lead) still be required?</p>  | <b>Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific.</b>                                |
| 37 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>SAP &amp; HASP Review Authorities</b><br/>For Sampling and Analysis Plans (SAPs), who will be the approving authority—EPA Region 9, the Hawaii Department of Health (HDOH), or another agency? Additionally, should Health and Safety Plans (HASPs) address only field work, or should they also cover post-assessment site activities?</p> | <b>Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific.</b>                                |
| 38 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>Access to Existing Soil Sampling Data</b><br/>Will contractors have access to soil sampling documentation from the residential Phase II assessments previously conducted under the County's separate contract?</p>  | <b>Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific.</b>                                |
| 39 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>Cleanup Plan Approvals</b><br/>Who will review and approve Cleanup Action Plans (CAPs)? Will approval be required from MUW, the County of Maui, EPA, or a combination?</p>  | <b>A combination, primarily EPA.</b>  |
| 40 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>Reuse Plan Reviewers</b><br/>Who will be responsible for reviewing and approving Site Reuse Plans?</p>  | <b>MUW and EPA</b>  |
| 41 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>Property List Availability</b><br/>Do you currently have a list of properties for the 10 Phase I ESAs and 5 Phase II ESAs? If a Phase II ESA is required for a site, can we assume a Phase I has already been completed?</p>  | <b>No we do not. No assumption can currently be made at this time until the site is identified.</b> |
| 42 | p.2-3 of RFP | <p>Phase 2 – Subsurface Investigations, Planning &amp; Cleanup</p> <p><b>Buildings for RBM Surveys</b><br/>For the 5 RBM surveys, will these only apply to sites with standing buildings, or are there</p>   | <b>Dependent on the site identified. This will be site specific.</b>                                |

| # | RFP<br>SECTION | QUESTION                 | RESPONSE |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|----------|
|   |                | additional requirements? |          |